

HEAT KERNEL ESTIMATES AND THE ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM ON WEIGHTED MANIFOLDS

NELIA CHARALAMBOUS AND ZHIQIN LU

ABSTRACT. We consider a complete noncompact smooth Riemannian manifold M with a weighted measure and the associated drifting Laplacian. We demonstrate that whenever the q -Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor on M is bounded below, then we can obtain an upper bound estimate for the heat kernel of the drifting Laplacian from the upper bound estimates of the heat kernels of the Laplacians on a family of related warped product spaces. We apply these results to study the essential spectrum of the drifting Laplacian on M .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Gradient and Heat Kernel Estimates on Riemannian Manifolds	4
3. Riemannian Manifolds of a Special Warped Product Form	6
4. Comparison Theorems and the Bottom of the Spectrum	8
5. The Heat Kernel in Bakry-Émery Geometry	14
5.1. Comparing the heat kernels on Riemannian and Bakry-Émery manifolds	14
5.2. Other inequalities	20
6. The L^p Essential Spectrum of the Drifting Laplacian	21
7. Manifolds Where the Essential Spectrum of Δ_f is $[0, \infty)$	27
References	30

Date: November 12, 2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 58J50; Secondary: 58E30.

Key words and phrases. drifting Laplacian, essential spectrum, Harnack inequality, heat kernel.
The second author is partially supported by the DMS-12-06748.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a complete noncompact smooth metric measure space $(M^n, g, e^{-f}dv)$, where (M, g) is a complete noncompact smooth Riemannian manifold with a weighted volume measure $d\mu = e^{-f}dv$ such that f is a smooth function on M and dv is the Riemannian measure. In this paper, we refer to such a space as a *weighted manifold*.

The associated drifting Laplacian to such a weighted manifold is

$$\Delta_f = \Delta - \nabla f \cdot \nabla,$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator and ∇ is the gradient operator on the Riemannian manifold M . Δ_f can be extended to a densely defined, self-adjoint, nonpositive definite operator on the space of square integrable functions with respect to the measure $e^{-f}dv$. The Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature is given by

$$\text{Ric}_f = \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 f,$$

where Ric is the Ricci curvature of the Riemannian manifold and $\nabla^2 f$ is the Hessian of the function f . For a positive number q , the q -Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor is defined as

$$\text{Ric}_f^q = \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{q} \nabla f \otimes \nabla f = \text{Ric}_f - \frac{1}{q} \nabla f \otimes \nabla f.$$

We use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the inner product of the Riemannian metric and $|\cdot|$ to denote the corresponding norm. Throughout this paper, we shall use the following version of the Bochner formula with respect to the drifting Laplacian.

$$(1) \quad \Delta_f |\nabla u|^2 = 2|\nabla^2 u|^2 + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta_f u \rangle + 2\text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u),$$

where $\nabla^2 u$ is the Hessian of u and $|\nabla^2 u|^2 = \sum u_{ij}^2$.

When $f = 0$, the above formula is the usual Bochner formula and was used by Li and Yau in [20] for their seminal work on the heat equation of Schrödinger operators on a Riemannian manifold. One of the key inequalities used in their proof is the Cauchy inequality $|\nabla^2 u|^2 \geq (\Delta u)^2/n$. However, if $f \neq 0$, we do not have an analogous relationship between the Hessian of u and the *drifting* Laplacian of u . Consequently, there is more subtlety in obtaining the gradient estimate in the $f \neq 0$ case.

In 1985, Bakry and Émery had demonstrated that in the case of the drifting Laplacian the relevant Ricci tensor for obtaining a gradient estimate is the q -Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor [1]. By generalizing the Li-Yau method, Qian was able to prove a Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates for the drifting Laplacian whenever $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq 0$ [26].

In this article we will consider a weighted manifold M on which, for some positive integer q , the q -Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor is bounded below by a nonpositive constant¹. We will associate to M a family of warped products \tilde{M}_ε . We shall show that the geometric analysis results on M are closely related to those on \tilde{M}_ε by directly comparing the geometry of M to that of \tilde{M}_ε . In this way, we are able to extend previous work in this area under the sole assumption of Ric_f^q bounded below, without any further constraints on the behavior of the function f . In particular, we are able to get the heat kernel estimate for the drifting Laplacian from the corresponding estimates in the Riemannian case effortlessly. The proofs reveal the strong geometric connection of M to the warped product spaces \tilde{M}_ε . At the same time, they further illustrate the fact that the drifting Laplacian and q -Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor are projections (in some sense) of the Laplacian and Ricci tensor of a higher dimensional space.

In the last two sections we apply the previous results to study the essential spectrum of the drifting Laplacian on a weighted manifold. In Section 6 we will prove that the L^p essential spectrum of the drifting Laplacian is independent of p , for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, whenever Ric_f^q is bounded below and the weighted volume of the manifold grows uniformly subexponentially. In Section 7 we demonstrate that for $p \in [1, \infty]$ the L^p essential spectrum of the drifting Laplacian is the nonnegative real line whenever Ric_f^q is asymptotically nonnegative.

Finally, we remark that for our heat kernel estimate it was only necessary to assume that the q -Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor was bounded below. Our upper bound depends on q and when $q \rightarrow \infty$ it does not converge. This further confirms that a lower bound on Ric_f alone is not sufficient for obtaining heat kernel estimates. However, the classical proof of heat kernel bounds for the Laplacian can be generalized whenever Ric_f is bounded below under the additional assumption that the gradient of f is bounded.²

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank X.-D. Li, O. Munteanu, J.-P. Wang and D.-T. Zhou for the helpful discussions during the preparation of this paper.

¹The recent work of Munteanu-Wang [23, 25] shows that assuming Ric_f^q bounded below may be too strong in certain cases. On the other hand, we will see that only assuming Ric_f has a lower bound seems to be too weak. It is therefore an interesting question to find the appropriate assumptions in-between these two. We shall remark on the relevant results in this paper.

²See Remark 5.10 for details.

2. GRADIENT AND HEAT KERNEL ESTIMATES ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

In this section we consider a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} of dimension $n + q$. We will review certain analytic properties of solutions to the heat equation on \tilde{M} . Let $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the Laplacian of \tilde{M} . Denote $\tilde{\text{Ric}}$ the Ricci curvature tensor on \tilde{M} , and $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(r)$ the ball of radius r at a fixed point \tilde{x}_o . The following gradient estimate for positive solutions to the heat equation is well known:

Theorem 2.1. [29, Chapter IV, Theorem 4.2] *Let $R > 0$ be a large number and assume that $\tilde{\text{Ric}} \geq -K$ with $K \geq 0$ on $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(4R)$. Let $u(x, t)$ be a positive solution to the heat equation*

$$u_t - \tilde{\Delta}u = 0$$

on $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(4R)$. Then for any $\alpha > 1$, u satisfies the Li-Yau estimate

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - \alpha \frac{u_t}{u} \leq \frac{(n+q)\alpha^2}{2t} + \frac{(n+q)K\alpha^2}{2(\alpha-1)} + C(n+q) \frac{\alpha^2}{R^2} \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-1} + R\sqrt{K} \right),$$

on $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(2R)$, where $C(n+q)$ is a constant that only depends on $n+q$.

The following theorem is a localized version³ of [29, Chapter IV, Theorem 4.5].

Theorem 2.2. *Under the same assumptions as the previous theorem, for any $\alpha > 1$ and $x, y \in \tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(R)$, the positive solution u satisfies the Harnack inequality*

$$u(x, t_1) \leq u(y, t_2) \left(\frac{t_2}{t_1} \right)^{\frac{(n+q)\alpha}{2}} \cdot \exp \left[\frac{\alpha \tilde{d}^2(x, y)}{4(t_2 - t_1)} + A(R)(t_2 - t_1) \right]$$

where $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$, $\tilde{d}(x, y)$ is the distance between x and y in \tilde{M} and

$$A(R) = \frac{(n+q)K\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)} + C(n+q) \frac{\alpha}{R^2} \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-1} + R\sqrt{K} \right)$$

where $C(n+q)$ is a constant that only depends on $n+q$.

With this Harnack inequality, one can prove an upper bound estimate for the heat kernel of the Laplacian as in [29, Chapter IV]. The estimate we provide below is a localized version of this result with an additional factor of $e^{-\lambda_1(\tilde{\Omega})t}$ where $\lambda_1(\tilde{\Omega})$ is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian on $\tilde{\Omega}$. This additional factor can be obtained using Davies' technique as in [7] (see also [15]).

³That is, given that we assume the Ricci curvature condition on a ball, we obtain the inequality on a ball of smaller radius.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $R > 0$ be a large number and assume that $\widetilde{\text{Ric}} \geq -K$ with $K \geq 0$ on $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(4R)$. Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be a domain of \tilde{M} such that $\tilde{\Omega} \supset \tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(4R)$. Denote by $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(x, y, t)$ the Dirichlet heat kernel on $\tilde{\Omega}$. Then for all $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $x, y \in \tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(R/4)$ and $t \leq R^2/4$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(x, y, t) &\leq C_1(\delta, n+q) e^{-\lambda_1(\tilde{\Omega})t} \tilde{V}^{-1/2}(x, \sqrt{t}) \tilde{V}^{-1/2}(y, \sqrt{t}) \\ &\quad \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{\tilde{d}^2(x, y)}{(4+\delta)t} + C_2(n+q) \tilde{A}(R) t \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{V}(x, r)$ is the volume of $\tilde{B}_x(r)$, $C_1(\delta, n+q), C_2(n+q)$ are positive constants and

$$\tilde{A}(R) = \left[\frac{1}{R^2} (1 + R\sqrt{K}) + K \right]^{1/2}.$$

Remark 2.4. *We note that the exponential in time term that appears in the heat kernel estimate could be improved. Saloff-Coste shows in [28] that under the same assumptions as Theorem 2.3 above, for any $R > 1$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $x, y \in \tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(R/4)$ and $t \leq R^2/4$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(x, y, t) &\leq C_3(\delta, n+q) e^{-\lambda_1(\tilde{\Omega})t} \tilde{V}^{-1/2}(x, \sqrt{t}) \tilde{V}^{-1/2}(y, \sqrt{t}) \\ &\quad \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{\tilde{d}^2(x, y)}{C_4(\delta, n+q)t} + C_5(n+q) \sqrt{K} t \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3(\delta, n+q), C_4(\delta, n+q)$, and $C_5(n+q)$ are positive constants. He obtains this sharper in t estimate for a more general class of elliptic operators on the manifold, by proving a Harnack inequality similar to the one of Theorem 2.2. The exponential term with the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian on $\tilde{\Omega}$ can also be added using Davies' technique [7]. We will be using this upper bound to obtain the heat kernel estimate of Theorem 5.6.

Similarly we have a lower bound on the heat kernel (see [28])

Theorem 2.5. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 2.3, for all $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $x, y \in \tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(R/4)$ and $t \leq R^2/4$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(x, y, t) &\geq C_6(\delta, n+q) \tilde{V}^{-1/2}(x, \sqrt{t}) \tilde{V}^{-1/2}(y, \sqrt{t}) \\ &\quad \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{\tilde{d}^2(x, y)}{C_7(\delta, n+q)t} - C_8(n+q) K t \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $C_6(\delta, n+q), C_7(\delta, n+q)$, and $C_8(n+q)$ are positive constants.

3. RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS OF A SPECIAL WARPED PRODUCT FORM

The idea of relating the geometry of a sequence of manifolds to their collapsing space dates back to Fukaya [10]. In [5], Cheeger and Colding studied the eigenvalue convergence for a collapsing sequence of manifolds $\{M_i\}$. In their general case, they need to assume that the gradient of the k^{th} eigenfunction is bounded above *uniformly in i* (c.f. equation (7.4) of [5]) and that the limit manifold has bounded diameter, among other conditions.

Lott observed in [21] that smooth metric measure spaces are examples of collapsed Gromov-Hausdorff limits of Riemannian manifolds. In the same article, he considered the product $\tilde{M} = M \times S^q$ for $q > 0$, where S^q is the q -dimensional unit sphere, with a family of warped product metrics

$$g_\varepsilon = g^M + \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{2}{q}f} g^{S^q}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

We use \tilde{M}_ε to denote the Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric g_ε . The sequence of warped products $(\tilde{M}_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon)$ collapses in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense to M as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

It turns out that we can use such a setting to obtain heat kernel estimates in Bakry-Émery geometry from the corresponding results in Riemannian geometry.⁴ In this section, we compute the curvature tensor on these warped products.

We employ the convention of indexing local coframes ω_m in M and η_μ in S^q by Latin and Greek indices respectively, the range of them being $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\mu \in \{n+1, \dots, n+q\}$, respectively. Then the local frames $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \eta_{n+1}, \dots, \eta_{n+q})$ are the frames for $M \times S^q$. Capital Latin indices are used for indexing the local frames in $M \times S^q$, i.e. $A, B \in \{1, \dots, n+q\}$.

We begin by computing the Ricci curvature of \tilde{M}_ε (see [8] for further computations of the curvature tensor on multiply warped products). We note that the components $\widetilde{\text{Ric}}_{ij}$ of the Ricci curvature also follow from O'Neil's formula for a Riemannian submersion.

⁴In a recent article S. Li and X.-D. Li also used a similar warped product over M to prove the W-entropy formula for the fundamental solution of the drifting Laplacian (referred to as the Witten Laplacian) on complete Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry [19]. In their case however, it was enough to consider a single warped product space over M and not a collapsing sequence.

Proposition 3.1. *The Ricci curvature $\widetilde{\text{Ric}}$ of \tilde{M}_ε is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\text{Ric}}_{ij} &= (\text{Ric}_f^q)_{ij}; \\ \widetilde{\text{Ric}}_{i\alpha} &= 0; \\ \widetilde{\text{Ric}}_{\alpha\beta} &= ((q-1)\varepsilon^{-2}e^{\frac{2}{q}f} - q^{-1}e^f\Delta e^{-f})\delta_{\alpha\beta},\end{aligned}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian on the Riemannian manifold M and $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Kronecker delta symbol.

Proof. Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ be orthonormal coframes of M and let $\eta_{n+1}, \dots, \eta_{n+q}$ be orthonormal coframes of S^q . Let $\omega_{ij}, \eta_{\alpha\beta}$ be the corresponding connection 1-forms. That is

$$\begin{aligned}d\omega_i &= \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j, & \omega_{ij} &= -\omega_{ji}; \\ d\eta_\alpha &= \eta_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \eta_\beta, & \eta_{\alpha\beta} &= -\eta_{\beta\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}_i &= \omega_i; \\ \tilde{\omega}_\alpha &= \varepsilon e^{-\frac{1}{q}f} \eta_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Then $(\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_{n+q})$ is an orthonormal basis of \tilde{M}_ε .

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}_{ij} &= \omega_{ij}; \\ \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} &= \eta_{\alpha\beta}; \\ \tilde{\omega}_{i\alpha} &= -\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha i} = -q^{-1}f_i \tilde{\omega}_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Then we can verify that

$$d\tilde{\omega}_A = \tilde{\omega}_{AB} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_B$$

with $\tilde{\omega}_{AB} = -\tilde{\omega}_{BA}$. The curvature tensor of $M \times S^q$ is defined by

$$d\tilde{\omega}_{AB} - \tilde{\omega}_{AC} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{CB} = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}_{ABST}\tilde{\omega}_S \wedge \tilde{\omega}_T.$$

By comparing the above equation with the Cartan equations on M and S^q , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_{ijst} &= R_{ijst}; \\ \tilde{R}_{A\alpha ij} &= 0; \\ \tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= (\varepsilon^{-2}e^{\frac{2}{q}f} - q^{-2}|\nabla f|^2)(\delta_{\alpha\gamma}\delta_{\beta\delta} - \delta_{\alpha\delta}\delta_{\beta\gamma}); \\ \tilde{R}_{Ai\alpha\beta} &= 0; \\ \tilde{R}_{i\alpha j\beta} &= \delta_{\alpha\beta}q^{-1}(f_{ij} - q^{-1}f_i f_j).\end{aligned}$$

The formulas for the Ricci curvature follow. \square

Remark 3.2. *The above proposition allows us to show the following: Suppose that Ric_f^q is bounded below on M and consider the ball of radius R at a fixed point in \tilde{M}_ε , $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(R)$. Then, for any R there exists a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the Ricci curvature of \tilde{M}_ε on $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(R)$ has a lower bound. This explains the need for the localized theorems in Section 2.*

4. COMPARISON THEOREMS AND THE BOTTOM OF THE SPECTRUM

The Laplacian on the warped product can be written as

$$(2) \quad \Delta_\varepsilon = \Delta_f + \varepsilon^{-2}e^{\frac{2}{q}f}\Delta_{S^q},$$

where Δ_ε , Δ_{S^q} are the Laplacians on \tilde{M}_ε and S^q , respectively.

Define the bottom of the Rayleigh quotient of the Laplacian on \tilde{M}_ε by

$$\lambda_1(\tilde{M}_\varepsilon) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\tilde{M}_\varepsilon)} \frac{\int_{\tilde{M}_\varepsilon} |\nabla_\varepsilon u|^2}{\int_{\tilde{M}_\varepsilon} u^2},$$

where ∇_ε is the gradient operator on \tilde{M}_ε . Similarly, we define the bottom of the Rayleigh quotient of the drifting Laplacian on the Bakry-Émery manifold M by

$$\lambda_{1,f}(M) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M)} \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2 e^{-f}}{\int_M u^2 e^{-f}}.$$

We prove that

Theorem 4.1. *Using the notation above, we have*

$$\lambda_1(\tilde{M}_\varepsilon) = \lambda_{1,f}(M)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. For any $\delta > 0$, there exists a function $u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2 e^{-f}}{\int_M u^2 e^{-f}} \leq \lambda_{1,f}(M) + \delta.$$

However, if we regard the function u as a function on \tilde{M}_ε , we have

$$\frac{\int_{\tilde{M}_\varepsilon} |\nabla_\varepsilon u|^2}{\int_{\tilde{M}_\varepsilon} u^2} = \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2 e^{-f}}{\int_M u^2 e^{-f}} \leq \lambda_{1,f}(M) + \delta.$$

By the variation principle we get

$$\lambda_1(\tilde{M}_\varepsilon) \leq \lambda_{1,f}(M) + \delta,$$

and hence $\lambda_1(\tilde{M}_\varepsilon) \leq \lambda_{1,f}(M)$.

On the other hand, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a $u = u(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M \times S^q)$ such that

$$\frac{\int_{\tilde{M}_\varepsilon} |\nabla_\varepsilon u|^2}{\int_{\tilde{M}_\varepsilon} u^2} \leq \lambda_1(\tilde{M}_\varepsilon) + \delta.$$

Let ∇_x be the gradient operator with respect to the x -component. For fixed ξ , we have

$$\lambda_{1,f}(M) \leq \frac{\int_M |\nabla_x u(x, \xi)|^2 e^{-f}}{\int_M u^2(x, \xi) e^{-f}}.$$

Therefore integrating with respect to ξ over S^q , we have

$$\lambda_{1,f}(M) \leq \frac{\int_{\tilde{M}_\varepsilon} |\nabla_x u|^2}{\int_{\tilde{M}_\varepsilon} u^2} \leq \frac{\int_{\tilde{M}_\varepsilon} |\nabla_\varepsilon u|^2}{\int_{\tilde{M}_\varepsilon} u^2} \leq \lambda_1(\tilde{M}_\varepsilon) + \delta.$$

Therefore $\lambda_{1,f}(M) \leq \lambda_1(\tilde{M}_\varepsilon)$, and the theorem is proved. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold such that for some positive integer q*

$$\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K,$$

where K is a nonnegative number. Then

$$\lambda_{1,f}(M) \leq \frac{1}{4(n+q-1)} K.$$

Proof. We fix x_o and $R > 2$ and consider the ball $B_{x_o}(R)$ in M . Take $\tilde{x}_o \in \tilde{M}$ with first component x_o . For each R , we can choose $\varepsilon(R)$ small enough such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(R)$

$$B_{x_o}(4R) \times S^q \subset \tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(4R+1)$$

where $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(r)$ denotes the ball of radius r in \tilde{M}_ε . Furthermore, we can choose $\varepsilon(R)$ even smaller such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(R)$, $(q-1)\varepsilon^{-2}e^{\frac{2}{q}f} - q^{-1}e^f \Delta e^{-f} \geq 0$ on $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(4R+1)$, since $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(4R+1) \subset B_{x_o}(4R+1) \times S^q$.

By Proposition 3.1, the Ricci curvature of the warped product \tilde{M}_ε satisfies

$$\widetilde{\text{Ric}} \geq -K$$

on $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(4R+1)$ for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(R)$.

Let $\lambda_{1,f}(B_{x_o}(R))$ be the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of Δ_f on $B_{x_o}(R)$ and let $\lambda_1(B_{x_o}(R) \times S^q, g_\varepsilon)$ be the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of Δ_ε on $B_{x_o}(R) \times S^q$.

Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lambda_{1,f}(B_{x_o}(R)) = \lambda_1(B_{x_o}(R) \times S^q, g_\varepsilon).$$

By the eigenvalue comparison theorem of Cheng [29, Theorem 1, Chapter III.3] we have

$$\lambda_1(B_p(R) \times S^q, g_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{4(n+q-1)} K + C(n+q)R^{-2}$$

for some constant $C(n+q)$ that only depends on $n+q$, and for a sufficiently large number R . Then the upper bound estimate follows since $\lambda_{1,f}(B_p(R)) \rightarrow \lambda_{1,f}(M)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and the right side is bounded. \square

We note that whenever $\text{Ric}_f^{\bar{q}} \geq -K$ for some $\bar{q} > 0$, then $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$ for some positive integer q . We recall that Munteanu and Wang in [23, 25] have demonstrated a similar upper bound for $\lambda_1(M)$ under the assumption that f has linear growth at a point and Ric_f has a lower bound.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold such that $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$ for some $K \geq 0$. Then for any $1 \leq r < R$*

$$\frac{V_f(x, R)}{V_f(x, r)} \leq \frac{1}{r^{n+q}} e^{C\sqrt{K}R+C'}$$

where C, C' are constants that depend on $n+q$.

Proof. Fix $R_o > 0$ large, $x_o \in M$ and $\tilde{x}_o = (x_o, w) \in M \times S^q$. As before, we use $\tilde{V}(\cdot, r)$ to denote the volume of a ball of radius r in \tilde{M}_ε . As in the proof of Corollary 4.2 we take $\varepsilon(R_o) > 0$ small enough such that the Ricci curvature of the warped product $M \times S^q$ satisfies

$$\widetilde{\text{Ric}} \geq -K$$

on $B_{x_o}(4R_o) \times S^q$ and therefore on $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(4R_o)$. Then for any $1 \leq r < R < R_o$ and $x \in B_{x_o}(R_o)$

$$\frac{\tilde{V}((x, w), R)}{\tilde{V}((x, w), r)} \leq \frac{1}{r^{n+q}} e^{C\sqrt{K}R+C'}$$

by the Bishop volume comparison theorem on \tilde{M}_ε .

It is clear that for any $r > 0$, if ε is small enough, then there exists a $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ also small enough such that

$$\tilde{B}_{(x,w)}(r) \subset B_x(r) \times S^q \subset \tilde{B}_{(x,w)}(r + \delta)$$

and $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^q V_f(x, R) &\leq \tilde{V}((x, w), R + \delta); \\ \varepsilon^q V_f(x, r) &\geq \tilde{V}((x, w), r). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. □

We fix a point x_o in M and define $r(x) = d(x, x_o)$ to be the radial distance to x_o . In the following theorem we see that the natural assumption for a Laplacian comparison theorem on M is that the q -Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor is bounded below.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold such that*

$$\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$$

for some positive constant q and $K \geq 0$. Then,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_f r \leq \sqrt{(n+q)K}$$

in the sense of distribution. Moreover,

$$\Delta_f r(x) \leq (n+q) \frac{1}{r(x)} + \sqrt{(n+q)K}$$

for $x \neq x_o$ in the sense of distribution.

Proof. We use the Bochner formula (1) for the distance function r :

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_f |\nabla r|^2 = |\nabla^2 r|^2 + \langle \nabla r, \nabla(\Delta_f r) \rangle + \text{Ric}_f(\nabla r, \nabla r) \\
&= |\nabla^2 r|^2 + \frac{\partial(\Delta_f r)}{\partial r} + \text{Ric}_f^q(\nabla r, \nabla r) + \frac{1}{q} \langle \nabla f, \nabla r \rangle^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{n} (\Delta r)^2 + \frac{\partial(\Delta_f r)}{\partial r} - K + \frac{1}{q} \langle \nabla f, \nabla r \rangle^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied the inequality $|\nabla^2 r|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} (\Delta r)^2$, the assumption on Ric_f^q and the fact that $|\nabla r| = 1$ a.e. on M .

For any positive integers n, q , the following inequality holds

$$\frac{1}{n} x^2 + \frac{1}{q} y^2 \geq \frac{1}{n+q} (x+y)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{n} (\Delta r)^2 + \frac{1}{q} \langle \nabla f, \nabla r \rangle^2 \geq \frac{1}{n+q} (\Delta_f r)^2.$$

Combining this lower bound with the Bochner formula, we get

$$\frac{1}{n+q} (\Delta_f r)^2 + \frac{\partial(\Delta_f r)}{\partial r} - K \leq 0.$$

By the Riccati equation comparison, for $K > 0$ we obtain

$$\Delta_f r \leq \sqrt{(n+q)K} \frac{\cosh(\alpha r)}{\sinh(\alpha r)}$$

in the sense of distribution, where $\alpha = \sqrt{K/(n+q)}$, whereas for $K = 0$

$$\Delta_f r \leq \frac{n+q}{r}$$

□

The above theorem is known the literature (see for example [2, Theorem 4.2] and [16]). It is very similar to Wei-Wylie [32] and Munteanu-Wang [23], but the lower bound on the q -Bakry Émery Ricci tensor allows as to make no assumption on the linear or sublinear growth of f . Wei-Wylie [32] also proved a volume comparison theorem using the above drifting Laplacian comparison theorem.

As a corollary to the above Laplacian comparison theorem, we prove the following Barmy-Émery version of the uniqueness of the heat kernel.

Corollary 4.5. *Under the assumptions of the above theorem, the heat kernel $H_f(x, y, t)$ of the Laplacian Δ_f on M is unique.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that in the Riemannian case, which was done by Dodziuk [9]. All we need is the following version of the maximum principle. Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta_f u = 0 & \text{on } M \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{on } M. \end{cases}$$

Then every bounded solution is uniquely determined by the initial data.

To prove the above claim, we take the following function

$$v = u - C_1 - \frac{C_2}{R}(r(x) + C_3 t),$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3 are positive constants to be determined. On the set $\partial B_{x_0}(R) \times (0, T)$, for C_1 sufficiently large, $v \leq 0$. On the other hand, in the sense of distribution, we have

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \leq \Delta_f v.$$

Thus by the maximum principle, we have

$$v(x, t) \leq v(x, 0).$$

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$u(x, t) \leq \sup |u(x, 0)|.$$

Replacing u by $-u$, we obtain

$$-u(x, t) \leq \sup |u(x, 0)|.$$

The claim and hence the corollary is proved.

We end this section by a slightly different version of the Laplacian comparison theorem from Theorem 4.4, which will be used in Section 7. We make the following definition

Definition 4.6. *We say that Ric_f^q is asymptotically nonnegative in the radial direction, if there exists a continuous function $\delta(r)$ on \mathbb{R}^+ such that*

- (1) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \delta(r) = 0$
- (2) $\delta(r) > 0$ and
- (3) For some $q > 0$, $\text{Ric}_f^q(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -\delta(r)$.

Following the proof of Theorem 4.4 above and Lemma 4.2 in [4], we get the Laplacian comparison result

Lemma 4.7. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold. Assume that for some $q > 0$, Ric_f^q is asymptotically nonnegative in the radial direction. Then*

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_f r = 0$$

in the sense of distribution.

□

5. THE HEAT KERNEL IN BAKRY-ÉMERY GEOMETRY

5.1. Comparing the heat kernels on Riemannian and Bakry-Émery manifolds. On any complete noncompact Riemannian manifold, the heat kernel exists and is positive [29, Theorem 1, Chapter III.2]. Throughout this paper, we study the Friedrichs extension of the Laplacian. The heat kernel corresponding to this extension is the smallest positive heat kernel.

We make similar definition in the Bakry-Émery case. Let $H_f(x, y, t)$ be the heat kernel of Δ_f corresponding to the Friedrichs extension. Then it is the smallest positive heat kernel among all other heat kernels that correspond to heat semi-groups of self-adjoint extensions of Δ_f .

The idea is to obtain estimates for $H_f(x, y, t)$ by comparing the heat kernel of Δ_f on M to averages of the heat kernel of the Laplacian Δ_ε on the warped product considered in the previous sections.

We use $\tilde{H}_\varepsilon((x, \omega), (y, \xi), t)$ to denote the heat kernel of Δ_ε on the warped product \tilde{M}_ε . Define

$$H_\varepsilon((x, \omega), y, t) = \varepsilon^q \int_{S^q(1)} \tilde{H}_\varepsilon((x, \omega), (y, \xi), t) d\xi,$$

where the integration is over the standard metric on $S^q(1)$. We specifically use $S^q(1)$ in place of S^q to emphasize that the metric is the standard metric with constant curvature 1. We have the following lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *The function $H_\varepsilon((x, \omega), y, t)$ is independent of ω .*

Proof. Let G be the isometry group of $S^q(1)$ preserving the orientation. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in S^q$, and let $A \in G$ such that $A\omega_1 = \omega_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\varepsilon((x, \omega_2), y, t) &= H_\varepsilon((x, A\omega_1), y, t) \\
&= \varepsilon^q \int_{S^q(1)} \tilde{H}_\varepsilon((x, A\omega_1), (y, \xi), t) d\xi \\
&= \varepsilon^q \int_{S^q(1)} \tilde{H}_\varepsilon((x, A\omega_1), (y, A\xi), t) d(A\xi) \\
&= \varepsilon^q \int_{S^q(1)} \tilde{H}_\varepsilon((x, A\omega_1), (y, A\xi), t) d\xi \\
&= \varepsilon^q \int_{S^q(1)} \tilde{H}_\varepsilon((x, \omega_1), (y, \xi), t) d\xi = H_\varepsilon((x, \omega_1), y, t).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $H_\varepsilon((x, \omega), y, t)$ is independent of ω . □

In what follows, we write

$$H_\varepsilon(x, y, t) = H_\varepsilon((x, \omega), y, t).$$

It can be regarded either as a function on M or as a function on \tilde{M}_ε .

Lemma 5.2.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H_\varepsilon(x, y, t) = \delta_x(y) e^{f(x)}$$

with respect to the weighted measure on M .

Proof. Let $\varphi(y)$ be a smooth function on M with compact support. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_M H_\varepsilon(x, y, t) \varphi(y) e^{-f(x)} dy \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^q \int_{M \times S^q(1)} \tilde{H}_\varepsilon((x, \omega), (y, \xi), t) \varphi(y) e^{-f(x)} dy d\xi \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{M}_\varepsilon} \tilde{H}_\varepsilon((x, \omega), (y, \xi), t) \varphi(y) dv \\
&= \varphi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

Let Ω be compact domain of M with smooth boundary and let $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \times S^q$. Let $\tilde{H}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\Omega}}((x, \omega), (y, \xi), t)$ be the Dirichlet (or Neumann) heat kernel of the Riemannian Laplacian Δ_ε on $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \times S^q$ with respect to the g_ε metric and let $H_{f, \Omega}$ be the Dirichlet (resp. Neumann) drifting heat kernel on Ω .

Corollary 5.3. *Using the above notation, we have*

$$H_{f, \Omega}(x, y, t) = \varepsilon^q \int_{S^q(1)} \tilde{H}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\Omega}}((x, \omega), (y, \xi), t) d\xi.$$

Proof. Let

$$H_{\varepsilon, \Omega}(x, y, t) = \varepsilon^q \int_{S^q(1)} \tilde{H}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\Omega}}((x, \omega), (y, \xi), t) d\xi.$$

Obviously, $H_{\varepsilon, \Omega}$ satisfies the heat kernel equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{f, x} \right) H_{\varepsilon, \Omega}(x, y, t) = 0$$

and $H_{\varepsilon, \Omega}(x, y, t) = H_{\varepsilon, \Omega}(y, x, t)$. Therefore both $H_{f, \Omega}$ and $H_{\varepsilon, \Omega}$ satisfy the heat kernel equation for the drifting Laplacian with the same initial value (cf. Lemma 5.2) and boundary value. The corollary follows from the maximum principle. \square

The following corollary generalizes [22, Corollary 1], where only the Neumann eigenvalues were considered.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $\lambda_{k, \varepsilon}(\tilde{\Omega})$ be the Dirichlet (resp. Neumann) eigenvalues of Δ_ε on $\tilde{\Omega}$ and let $\lambda_{k, f}(\Omega)$ be the Dirichlet (resp. Neumann) eigenvalues of the drifting Laplacian on Ω . Then*

$$\lambda_{k, \varepsilon}(\tilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow \lambda_{k, f}(\Omega)$$

for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. On a compact manifold, $H_{f, \Omega}(x, y, t)$ has the eigenfunction expansion

$$H_{f, \Omega}(x, y, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{k, f} t} \phi_{k, f}(x) \phi_{k, f}(y)$$

where the $\phi_{k, f}$ are eigenfunctions forming an orthonormal basis of the space of weighted L^2 integrable functions of Ω , such that $\phi_{k, f}$ corresponds to the eigenvalue

$\lambda_{k,f}$. Similarly, on $\tilde{\Omega}$

$$\tilde{H}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\Omega}}((x, \omega), (y, \xi), t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{k,\varepsilon} t} \phi_{k,\varepsilon}(x, \omega) \phi_{k,\varepsilon}(y, \xi)$$

where the $\phi_{k,\varepsilon}$ are eigenfunctions forming an orthonormal basis of the space of L^2 integrable functions of $\tilde{\Omega}$, such that $\phi_{k,\varepsilon}$ corresponds to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k,\varepsilon}$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\Omega} H_{f,\Omega}(x, x, t) e^{-f(x)} dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{k,f} t}$$

whereas,

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} H_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\Omega}}((x, \omega), (x, \omega), t) \varepsilon^q e^{-f(x)} d\omega dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{k,\varepsilon} t}.$$

By Corollary 5.3, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{k,f} t} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{k,\varepsilon} t}$$

for any $t > 0$. Thus the conclusion of the corollary follows since the functions $e^{-\lambda t}$ are linearly independent for distinct λ . \square

On a noncompact complete manifold, the maximum principle does not apply directly. Moreover, the heat kernel might not be unique in general.⁵ Nevertheless, we still have the following

Theorem 5.5. *For $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$H_f(x, y, t) = H_{\varepsilon}(x, y, t).$$

Proof. Let $\{\Omega_i\}$ be an exhaustion of M by bounded domains. That is

- (1) Ω_i are bounded domains in M with smooth boundary,
- (2) $\Omega_i \subset \Omega_{i+1}$ for any positive integer i and
- (3) $\bigcup \Omega_i = M$.

⁵By the result of Dodziuk [9] and Corollary 4.5, the heat kernels are indeed unique under the assumption that the tensors $\widetilde{\text{Ric}}$ on \tilde{M}_{ε} and Ric_f^q on M are bounded below.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H_{f, \Omega_i}(x, y, t) &= H_f(x, y, t) \\ \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{H}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\Omega}_i}((x, \xi), (y, \eta), t) &= \tilde{H}_\varepsilon((x, \xi), (y, \eta), t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_i = \Omega_i \times S^q$. The theorem follows from Corollary 5.3. \square

Theorem 5.6. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold such that for some positive integer q ,*

$$\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$$

on $B_{x_o}(4R+4) \subset M$. Then for any $x, y \in B_{x_o}(R/4)$, $t < R^2/4$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned} H_f(x, y, t) \leq & C_3(\delta, n+q) V_f^{-1/2}(x, \sqrt{t}) V_f^{-1/2}(y, \sqrt{t}) \\ & \cdot \exp[-\lambda_{1,f}(M)t - \frac{d^2(x, y)}{C_4(\delta, n+q)t} + C_5(n+q)\sqrt{Kt}] \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants $C_3(\delta, n+q)$, $C_4(\delta, n+q)$ and $C_5(n+q)$.

Whenever $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$ on M , then the same bound also holds for all $x, y \in M$ and $t > 0$.

Proof. Let Ω be a compact domain in M large enough such that $B_{x_o}(4R+4) \subset \Omega$. Let \tilde{x}_o be a point in \tilde{M} with first component x_o and $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \times S^q$. Since $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(4R+4) \subset B_{x_o}(4R+4) \times S^q$, as in the proof of Corollary 4.2, we take $\varepsilon(R)$ small enough such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(R)$ the Ricci curvature of \tilde{M}_ε satisfies

$$\widetilde{\text{Ric}} \geq -K$$

on $B_{x_o}(4R+4) \times S^q$ and therefore on $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}(4R+4) \subset \tilde{\Omega}$. We observe that for any $x, y \in B_{x_o}(R/4)$, the points $(x, \omega), (y, \xi) \in \tilde{B}_{\tilde{x}_o}((R+1)/4)$ for ε small enough.

Therefore, by Theorem 2.3, Remark 2.4 and Theorem 5.5, for any $x, y \in B_{x_o}(R/4)$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $t \leq R^2/4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_f(x, y, t) \leq & \varepsilon^q C_3(\delta, n+q) \exp[-\lambda_1(\tilde{\Omega})t + C_5(n+q)\sqrt{Kt}] \\ & \cdot \int_{S^q(1)} \tilde{V}^{-1/2}((x, \omega), \sqrt{t}) \tilde{V}^{-1/2}((y, \xi), \sqrt{t}) \cdot \exp[-\frac{\tilde{d}^2((x, \omega), (y, \xi))}{C_4(\delta, n+q)t}] d\xi \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{d}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the distance function in \tilde{M} and $\tilde{V}(\tilde{x}, r)$ is the volume of the ball at \tilde{x} with radius r contained in \tilde{M}_ε .

Given that $\tilde{d}((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) \geq d(x, y)$, and using Corollary 4.2 we get

$$H_f(x, y, t) \leq C(\delta, n, q) \exp[-\lambda_{1,f}(\Omega)t + C_5(n+q)\sqrt{K}t - \frac{d^2(x, y)}{C_4(\delta, n+q)t}] \\ \cdot \varepsilon^q \int_{S^q(1)} \tilde{V}^{-1/2}((x, \omega), \sqrt{t}) \tilde{V}^{-1/2}((y, \xi), \sqrt{t}) d\xi.$$

For any fixed (x, ω) and $t > 0$, there exists an $\varepsilon_o(x, t)$ small enough such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_o$

$$\tilde{B}_{(x, \omega)}(\sqrt{t}) \supset B_x(\sqrt{t} - C\varepsilon) \times S^q$$

for a constant C which may depend on x . It follows that

$$\tilde{V}((x, \omega), \sqrt{t}) \geq \varepsilon^q V_f(x, \sqrt{t} - C\varepsilon)$$

for ε sufficiently small.

The theorem is proved by sending $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the right side. \square

Remark 5.7. *We would like to further point out that only assuming Ric_f bounded below and f of linear growth at a point as in [25] would not be sufficient to obtain the global heat kernel bound this way. In order to get the heat kernel estimates, an assumption on the uniform linear growth of f is needed, which is almost equivalent to assuming that the gradient of f is bounded.*

Theorem 5.5 also shows that lower bounds on the heat kernels $\tilde{H}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\Omega}_i}$ imply lower bounds on H_{f, Ω_i} . Theorem 2.5 of Saloff-Coste gives us the following lower estimate:

Theorem 5.8. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f}dv)$ be a weighted manifold such that for some positive integer q and $K \geq 0$,*

$$\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$$

on $B_{x_o}(4R+4) \subset M$. Then for any $x, y \in B_{x_o}(R/4)$, $t < R^2/4$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$

$$H_\Omega(x, y, t) \geq C_6(\delta, n+q) V^{-1/2}(x, \sqrt{t}) V^{-1/2}(y, \sqrt{t}) \\ \cdot \exp \left[-C_7(\delta, n+q) \frac{d^2(x, y)}{t} - C_8(n+q) K t \right]$$

for some positive constants $C_6(\delta, n+q)$, $C_7(\delta, n+q)$ and $C_8(n+q)$. Whenever $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$ on M , then the same bound also holds for all $x, y \in M$ and $t > 0$.

5.2. Other inequalities. Although it will not be used in this paper, we would like to pursue the Li-Yau type of inequality in Baky-Émery geometry. Using Theorem 2.1, we obtain

Theorem 5.9. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold such that for some positive integer q and $K \geq 0$*

$$\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K.$$

Suppose that u is a positive solution of the equation

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_f)u = 0$$

on $M \times [0, T]$. Then for any $\alpha > 1$, u satisfies the Li-Yau type estimate

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - \alpha \frac{u_t}{u} \leq \frac{(n+q)\alpha^2}{2t} + \frac{(n+q)K\alpha^2}{2(\alpha-1)} + C(n+q) \frac{\alpha^2}{R^2} \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-1} + R\sqrt{K} \right)$$

for any $x, y \in M$ and $t > 0$.

This kind of estimate was obtained by Qian [26] using the Li-Yau technique for the case $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq 0$ and X.-D. Li for the case $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$ [16] (see also [17] and [18] where they are used to obtain upper and lower bound estimates on the heat kernel of the drifting Laplacian). Here on the other hand, we obtain it effortlessly, by simply considering u as a solution to the heat equation on a large enough ball of some \tilde{M}_ε and applying Theorem 2.1 to u .

Remark 5.10. *If we make the stronger assumptions $\text{Ric}_f > -K$ and $|\nabla f| \leq a_o$, where a_o is a constant, then it is possible to prove the following Li-Yau type of inequality:*

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - \alpha \frac{u_t}{u} \leq \frac{n\alpha^2}{2t} + \frac{C(n)(K + a_o^2)\alpha^2}{2(\alpha-1)} + C(n) \frac{\alpha^2}{R^2} \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-1} + R(\sqrt{K} + a_o) \right).$$

This estimate is sharper when $t \rightarrow 0$, and can be used to study the very initial behavior of the Baky-Émery heat kernel (cf. [33]). The proof can be done by following the same procedure as in the classical case (for an outline of the classical argument see [6, 29]). The key inequality we use is the one similar to (3). In particular, for any u on M we have

$$|\nabla^2 u|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n}(\Delta u)^2 \geq \frac{1}{n}(\Delta_f u)^2 - 2a_o|\Delta_f u| |\nabla u|.$$

6. THE L^p ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM OF THE DRIFTING LAPLACIAN

For the last two sections of this paper, we turn to the Bakry-Émery essential spectrum. Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold. We denote the L^2 norm associated to the measure $e^{-f} dv$ by

$$\|u\|_2 = \int_M |u|^2 e^{-f} dv.$$

Let

$$L^2(M, e^{-f} dv) = \{u \mid \|u\|_2 < \infty\}$$

be the real Hilbert space. Similar to the L^2 norm, we can define the L^p norms

$$\|u\|_p = \int_M |u|^p e^{-f} dv,$$

and the Babach spaces

$$L^p(M, e^{-f} dv) = \{u \mid \|u\|_p < \infty\}.$$

Since $-\Delta_f$ is nonnegative definite, the L^2 essential spectrum is contained in the nonnegative real line.

Lemma 6.1. *The heat semigroup $e^{t\Delta_f}$ is well-defined on L^p for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. This in particular implies that the operator $e^{t\Delta_f}$ is bounded on L^p for any $t \geq 0$.*

This is a direct consequence of Kato's inequality

$$\int_M \langle \nabla |u|, \nabla |u| \rangle e^{-f} dv \leq \int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle e^{-f} dv.$$

(see Davies [6, Theorems 1.3.2, 1.3.3])

We denote by $\Delta_{p,f}$ the infinitesimal generator of $e^{t\Delta_f}$ in the L^p space. We denote the essential spectrum of $-\Delta_{p,f}$ by $\sigma(-\Delta_{p,f})$ and its resolvent set by $\rho(-\Delta_{p,f}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(-\Delta_{p,f})$. We define $\Delta_{\infty,f}$ to be the dual operator of L^1 so its spectrum is identical to that of $\Delta_{1,f}$.

We will find sufficient conditions on the manifold such that the essential spectrum of $\Delta_{p,f}$ is independent of p . Similar to the case of the Laplacian on functions and differential forms it will be necessary to assume that the volume of the manifold, with respect to the weighted measure neither decays nor grows exponentially [3, 31]. Let $V_f(x, r)$ be the volume of the geodesic ball of radius r at x with respect to the $e^{-f} dv$ measure. Then

Definition 6.2. We say that the volume of a weighted manifold $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ grows uniformly subexponentially, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C(\varepsilon)$, independent of x , such that for any $r > 0$ and $x \in M$

$$V_f(x, r) \leq C(\varepsilon) V_f(x, 1) e^{\varepsilon r}.$$

Define $\phi(x) = V_f(x, 1)^{-1/2}$. The assumption on the uniformly subexponential volume growth allows us to prove the following estimate

Lemma 6.3. Let $(M, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold whose volume grows uniformly subexponentially. Then for any $\beta > 0$

$$\sup_{x \in M} \int_M \phi(x) \phi(y) e^{-\beta d(x, y)} e^{-f(y)} dv(y) < \infty.$$

Proof. The uniformly subexponential volume growth of $(M, g, e^{-f} dv)$ shows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite constant $C(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$V_f(x, 1) \leq V_f(y, 1 + d(x, y)) \leq C(\varepsilon) V_f(y, 1) e^{\varepsilon(1+d(x, y))}.$$

Therefore

$$\phi(y)^2 \leq \phi(x)^2 C(\varepsilon) e^{\varepsilon(1+d(x, y))}.$$

We get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in M} \int_M \phi(x) \phi(y) e^{-\beta d(x, y)} e^{-f(y)} dv(y) \\ & \leq C'(\varepsilon) \sup_{x \in M} \phi(x)^2 \int_M e^{-\beta d(x, y)} e^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(1+d(x, y))} e^{-f(y)} dv(y) \\ & \leq C'(\varepsilon) \sup_{x \in M} \phi(x)^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta j} e^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(j+2)} V_f(x, j+1) \\ & \leq C'(\varepsilon) \sup_{x \in M} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C(\varepsilon) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta j + \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon(j+1)} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

after choosing $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}\beta$. □

We will prove the following theorem

Theorem 6.4. Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold whose volume grows uniformly subexponentially with respect to the measure $e^{-f} dv$. Assume that the q -Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature satisfies $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$ for some $q > 0$ and $K \geq 0$. Then the L^p essential spectrum of the drifting Laplacian is independent of p for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Our proof will follow closely to that of Sturm [31], which was initially developed by Hempel and Voigt for Schrödinger operators on \mathbb{R}^n [12].

Define the set of functions

$$\Psi_\varepsilon = \{\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^1(M) \mid |\nabla \psi| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Observe that for any pair of points $x, y \in M$, $\sup\{\psi(x) - \psi(y) \mid \psi \in \Psi_\varepsilon\} \leq \varepsilon d(x, y)$. We will be considering perturbations of the operator $\Delta_{2,f}$ of the form $e^\psi \Delta_{2,f} e^{-\psi}$. The following lemma is technical and is straightforward from Kato's result [14, Theorem VI.3.9].

Lemma 6.5. *For any compact subset W of the resolvent set of $\rho(-\Delta_{2,f})$ there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $C < \infty$ such for all $\xi \in W$ and $\psi \in \Psi_\varepsilon$, ξ belongs to the resolvent set of the operator $-e^\psi \Delta_{2,f} e^{-\psi}$ and*

$$\|(-e^\psi \Delta_{2,f} e^{-\psi} - \xi)^{-1}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C.$$

The geometric conditions on the manifold stem from the necessity to show the following proposition

Proposition 6.6. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold such that $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$ for some $q > 0$ and $K \geq 0$. Then for any $\xi \in \rho(-\Delta_{2,f})$, there exists a positive integer N such that for any $N \leq m \leq 2N$, $(-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-m}$ has a smooth kernel function $G_\xi(x, y)$ satisfying*

$$|G_\xi(x, y)| \leq C \phi(x) \phi(y) e^{-\varepsilon d(x, y)}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $C > 1$.

Under the additional assumption that the volume of M grows uniformly subexponentially with respect to the weighted volume $e^{-f} dv$, the operator $(-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-m}$ is bounded from L^p to L^p for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

The proof of Proposition 6.6 requires the following upper bound estimate for the heat kernel of the drifting Laplacian, which follows from Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 5.6

Corollary 6.7. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold such that $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$ for some positive integer q and $K \geq 0$. Then for any $\beta_1 > 0$ there exists a negative number α and constant $C(n + q, K, \beta_1)$ such that*

$$(6) \quad H_f(x, y, t) \leq C \phi(x)^2 \sup\{t^{-(n+q)/2}, 1\} e^{-\beta_1 d(x, y)} e^{-(\alpha+1)t}.$$

Proof. For $r < 1$, Lemma 4.3 gives

$$V_f(x, r)^{-1} \leq \phi(x)^2 r^{-(n+q)} C(n + q, K),$$

whereas

$$V_f(x, r)^{-1} \leq \phi(x)^2$$

holds for any $r \geq 1$. Lemma 4.3 also yields

$$\phi(y)^2 \leq C \phi(x)^2 e^{\bar{C} \sqrt{K}(1+d(x,y))}$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and constants C, \bar{C} that only depend on $n + q$. At the same time the exponential function satisfies the elementary inequality

$$e^{-d^2/4ct} \leq e^{-\beta_2 d} e^{c\beta_2^2 t}$$

for any $\beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Combining the above estimates with (5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_f(x, y, t) &\leq C \phi(x)^2 \sup\{t^{-(n+q)/2}, 1\} \\ &\cdot \exp[-\lambda_{1,f}(M)t + \bar{C} \sqrt{K}(1 + d(x, y)) - \beta_2 d(x, y) + C_5 \sqrt{K}t + \frac{1}{4}\beta_2^2 C_4 t] \cdot e^{-\alpha t}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing β_2 such that $\beta_1 = -\bar{C} \sqrt{K} + \beta_2$ is any positive number, we get the result with $\alpha = -1 - C_5 \sqrt{K} - \frac{1}{4}\beta_2^2 C_4$. \square

We are now ready to prove Proposition 6.6.

Proof of Proposition 6.6. Without loss of generality we take q to be a positive integer. We note that $(-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-m}$ has an integral kernel whenever it is bounded from L^1 to L^∞ . To prove the upper bound for the integral kernel in the proposition, it suffices to show that for some positive integer N , whenever $N \leq m \leq 2N$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the perturbed operator $\phi^{-1} e^\psi (-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-m} e^{-\psi} \phi^{-1}$ is bounded from L^1 to L^∞ for all $\psi \in \Psi_\varepsilon$.

We use the resolvent equation to rewrite this perturbed operator as

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad &\phi^{-1} e^\psi (-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-m} e^{-\psi} \phi^{-1} = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} (\xi - \alpha)^j [\phi^{-1} e^\psi (-\Delta_{2,f} - \alpha)^{-m/2} e^{-\psi}] \\ &\quad \cdot [e^\psi (-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-1} e^{-\psi}] [e^\psi (-\Delta_{2,f} - \alpha)^{-m/2} e^{-\psi} \phi^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

The perturbed operator will be bounded from L^1 to L^∞ if we can show that

- (a) $\|e^\psi (-\Delta_{2,f} - \alpha)^{-m/2} e^{-\psi} \phi^{-1}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C$
- (b) $\|e^\psi (-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-1} e^{-\psi}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C$
- (c) $\|\phi^{-1} e^\psi (-\Delta_{2,f} - \alpha)^{-m/2} e^{-\psi}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C$

for $m \geq N$.

Estimate (b) is Lemma 6.5 and determines the constant ε .

Estimates (a) and (c) require the drifting heat kernel estimates. Given that α is a negative real number, the operator $(-\Delta_{2,f} - \alpha)^{-m/2}$ is given by

$$(-\Delta_{2,f} - \alpha)^{-m/2} = C_m \int_0^\infty e^{t\Delta_{2,f}} t^{\frac{m}{2}-1} e^{\alpha t} dt$$

where C_m is a constant that depends only on m . Since $e^{t\Delta_{2,f}}$ has an integral kernel (which is the heat kernel), we observe that $(-\Delta_{2,f} - \alpha)^{-m/2}$ also has an integral kernel, $G_\alpha^{m/2}(x, y)$, whenever the right side of the above equation is integrable with respect to t . In particular, from equation (6) of Corollary 6.7 for any $\beta > 0$ and $m > n + q + 2$, there exists an $\alpha < 0$ such that

$$|G_\alpha^{m/2}(x, y)| \leq C(n + q, K, \beta) \phi(x)^2 e^{-\beta d(x, y)}.$$

As a result, $\phi^{-1} e^\psi (-\Delta_{2,f} - \alpha)^{-m/2} e^{-\psi}$ also has an integral kernel such that for any function $g \in L^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\phi^{-1} e^\psi (-\Delta_{2,f} - \alpha)^{-m/2} e^{-\psi} g\|_{L^\infty} = \\ & = \sup_y \phi(y)^{-1} e^{\psi(y)} \left| \int_M G_\alpha^{m/2}(x, y) e^{-\psi(x)} g(x) e^{-f(x)} dv(x) \right| \\ (8) \quad & \leq \sup_y \left| \int_M \phi(y)^2 e^{2(\varepsilon - \beta)d(x, y)} e^{-f(x)} dv(x) \right|^{1/2} \|g(x)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \|g(x)\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

after choosing $\beta > 0$ large enough, given the volume comparison result of Lemma 4.3. Part (c) follows by taking adjoints. The upper bound on $G_\xi^m(x, y)$ follows.

By Lemma 6.3, we know that $(-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-m}$ is a bounded operator on $L^1(M)$. Since it is bounded on $L^2(M)$ by the definition of ξ , the interpolation theorem implies that the operator is bounded on $L^p(M)$ for any $1 \leq p \leq 2$. By taking adjoints, the operator is bounded for any $p \geq 2$. Finally, the operator is bounded on L^∞ by our definition of the L^∞ spectrum. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.4. We begin by first proving the inclusion $\sigma(-\Delta_{p,f}) \subset \sigma(-\Delta_{2,f})$. This is the more difficult one to achieve, since the reverse inclusion could be true in a more general setting. Proposition 6.6 implies that for any $N \leq m \leq 2N$, the operator $(-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-m}$ is bounded on L^p for all $p \in [1, \infty]$ and for all $\xi \in \rho(\Delta_{2,f})$.

By the uniqueness of the extension⁶ we get that $(-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi)^{-m} = (-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-m}$ for all $\xi \in \rho(-\Delta_{2,f})$. In what follows, we fix the number N .

If the inclusion $\sigma(-\Delta_{p,f}) \subset \sigma(-\Delta_{2,f})$ is not true, since $\sigma(\Delta_{2,f}) \subset [0, \infty)$, then there is a ξ on the boundary of $\sigma(-\Delta_{p,f})$ which is not in $\sigma(-\Delta_{2,f})$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, within an ε neighborhood of ξ , we can find $m - 1$ different complex numbers ξ_j such that $\xi_j \in \rho(-\Delta_{p,f})$. If ε is sufficiently small, using the Taylor expansion of $(-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi)^{-m}$, we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & ((-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi)(-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi_1) \cdots (-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi_{m-1}))^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1} \geq 0} (-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi)^{-m-k_1-\dots-k_{m-1}} (\xi_1 - \xi)^{k_1} \cdots (\xi_{m-1} - \xi)^{k_{m-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.6, for any $k \geq N$, we have

$$\|(-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi)^{-k}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} = \|(-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-k}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq C^{k/N}.$$

Therefore the expansion in (9) is convergent and hence the operator is bounded on L^p . Using the partial fraction method, we can find non-zero complex numbers c_j ($0 \leq j \leq m - 1$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & ((-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi)(-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi_1) \cdots (-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi_{m-1}))^{-1} \\ &= c_0(-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi)^{-1} + \sum_j c_j(-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi_j)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi)^{-1}$ is bounded on L^p , because all the other operators are bounded on L^p .

We will now show that $\sigma(-\Delta_{p,f}) \supset \sigma(-\Delta_{2,f})$. Let p and q to be dual orders such that $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ and observe that by duality $\rho(-\Delta_{p,f}) = \rho(-\Delta_{q,f})$ (in other words, $\xi \in \rho(-\Delta_{p,f})$ if and only if $\xi \in \rho(-\Delta_{q,f})$). Therefore $(-\Delta_{p,f} - \xi)^{-1}$ and $(-\Delta_{q,f} - \xi)^{-1}$ are bounded operators on L^p and L^q , respectively for all $\xi \in \rho(-\Delta_{p,f})$. Using the Calderón Lions Interpolation Theorem [27, Theorem IX.20], Hempel and Voigt and Sturm prove that the interpolated operator on L^2 is $(-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-1}$ [13, 31]. As a result, $(-\Delta_{2,f} - \xi)^{-1}$ is bounded on L^2 and $\xi \in \rho(-\Delta_{2,f})$. □

⁶We need to argue that ξ can be path connected to a negative number, which is true since the spectrum of $\Delta_{2,f}$ is contained in the real line. For the details of this argument we refer the interested reader to the articles by Hempel and Voigt [12, 13] (see also [3, 31]).

Corollary 6.8. *In the notation above, for any $p \in [1, \infty]$, we have that the L^p essential spectrum of Δ_ε on \tilde{M}_ε contains the L^p essential spectrum of Δ_f . In particular, if the essential spectrum of Δ_f on M is $[0, \infty)$, then so is the essential spectrum of Δ_ε on \tilde{M}_ε for any ε .*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{B} be the Banach space of real-valued functions on \tilde{M}_ε which are constants along the fiber and belong to $L^p(\tilde{M}_\varepsilon)$. Then \mathfrak{B} is isomorphic to $L^p(M, e^{-f} dv)$. Moreover, by (2), Δ_ε can be identified to Δ_f under this isomorphism. Therefore, when restricted to \mathfrak{B} , the essential spectrum of Δ_ε is the same as that of Δ_f on M . \square

7. MANIFOLDS WHERE THE ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM OF Δ_f IS $[0, \infty)$

In this section we will compute the L^p essential spectrum of the drifting Laplacian under adequate curvature conditions on the manifold.

As before we fix a point $x_o \in M$ and let $r(x) = d(x, x_o)$ be the radial distance to the point x_o . Then $r(x)$ is a continuous Lipschitz function and $|\nabla r| = 1$ almost everywhere. The cut locus of $x_o \in M$ is a set of measure zero, denoted $\text{Cut}(x_o)$. Then $M = S \cup \text{Cut}(x_o) \cup \{x_o\}$ where S is a star-shaped domain on which r is smooth.

We will prove the following theorem

Theorem 7.1. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold. Suppose that for some $q > 0$, the q -Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor Ric_f^q is asymptotically nonnegative in the radial direction with respect to a fixed point x_o (cf. Definition 4.6). If the weighted volume of the manifold is finite, we additionally assume that its volume does not decay exponentially at x_o . Then the L^2 essential spectrum of the drifting Laplacian is $[0, \infty)$.*

By Lemma 4.7, the theorem is implied by the following more general result

Theorem 7.2. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold. Suppose that, with respect to a fixed point x_o , the radial function $r(x) = d(x, x_o)$ satisfies*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_f r \leq 0$$

in the sense of distribution. If the weighted volume of the manifold is finite, we additionally assume that its volume does not decay exponentially at x_o . Then the L^2 essential spectrum of the drifting Laplacian is $[0, \infty)$.

We apply Proposition 3.1 of [4] to find a smooth approximation \tilde{r} to r on M with the same properties taking into account the weighted volume (see also [30]). The

proof of the above theorem resembles that of Theorem 1.1 in [4], once we set up the following estimate. We omit the details of the proof of both results.

Let $B(r) = B_{x_o}(r)$ be the ball of radius r in M at x_o and denote its weighted volume by $V_f(r)$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.4 [4] (see also [30]), we get

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose that (4) is valid on M in the sense of distribution. Then we have the following two cases*

- (a) *Whenever $\text{vol}_f(M)$ is infinite, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and $r_1 > 0$ large enough, there exists a $K = K(\varepsilon, r_1)$ such that for any $r_2 > K$, we have*

$$(10) \quad \int_{B(r_2) \setminus B(r_1)} |\Delta_f \tilde{r}| \leq \varepsilon V_f(r_2 + 1) + 2;$$

- (b) *Whenever $\text{vol}_f(M)$ is finite, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $K(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for any $r_2 > K$, we have*

$$\int_{M \setminus B(r_2)} |\Delta_f \tilde{r}| \leq \varepsilon (\text{vol}(M) - V_f(r_2)) + 2\text{vol}(\partial B(r_2)).$$

If we assume that Ric_f^q is asymptotically nonnegative, then we have the following stronger result for the L^p spectra.

Theorem 7.4. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a weighted manifold such that for some $q > 0$*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ric}_f^q \geq 0.$$

Then the L^p essential spectrum of Δ_f is $[0, \infty)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

By Theorem 7.1 we know that the L^2 essential spectrum will be $[0, \infty)$ in the above case. In order to generalize the result for all p by applying Theorem 6.4, we need to prove the following volume comparison theorem which is of its own interest.

Theorem 7.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 7.4 the weighted volume of M grows uniformly subexponentially.*

Proof. We begin with some remarks on the volume comparison theorem Lemma 4.3. Firstly, using the same method as in the proof of the lemma, we conclude that if the curvature Ric_f^q is asymptotically nonnegative in the sense of Lemma 4.7 at x_o , then the volume growth is subexponential at x_o . Secondly, the volume comparison theorem can be localized. In other words, the volume comparison result of Lemma 4.3 holds, whenever $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -K$ on the ball of radius R .

With the above remarks, the proof is essentially a compactness argument. Let $x_o \in M$ be a fixed point. If the theorem is not true, then there exists an $\varepsilon_o > 0$ such that for any $C > 0$, there exist sequences $\{x_i\} \subset M$ and $\{R_i > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $R_i \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$(11) \quad C V_f(x_i, 1) e^{\varepsilon_o R_i} \leq V_f(x_i, R_i)$$

for all $i \geq 0$. This implies that $d(x_i, x_o) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. If not, then $V_f(x_i, 1)$ has a uniform lower bound and the volume of the manifold grows exponentially by (11), which is a contradiction. At the same time Lemma 4.3 implies that inequality (11) can only happen whenever

$$(12) \quad d(x_i, x_o)/R_i \leq 2$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Otherwise, $B_{x_i}(R_i) \subset M \setminus B_{x_o}(R_i)$ and as a result, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and R_i large enough $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -\varepsilon$ on $B_{x_i}(R_i)$. By Lemma 4.3 we have

$$V_f(x_i, R_i) \leq C' V_f(x_i, 1) e^{\varepsilon R_i}$$

which is a clear contradiction to (11) as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Now applying (12) we have

$$V_f(x_o, 3R_i) \geq V_f(x_i, R_i) \geq C V_f(x_i, 1) e^{\varepsilon_o R_i}.$$

For any small $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $R_o > 0$ a sufficiently large constant such that $\text{Ric}_f^q \geq -\varepsilon$ on $M \setminus B_{x_o}(R_o)$. Fix $\sigma > 0$ and choose i sufficiently large so that $\sigma + R_o < d(x_i, x_o)$. By Lemma 4.3, for ε small enough and all i sufficiently large we have

$$V_f(x_i, d(x_i, x_o) - \sigma) \leq C' V_f(x_i, 1) e^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_o(d(x_i, x_o) - \sigma)}.$$

Let $y \in B_{x_i}(d(x_i, x_o) - \sigma - 1) \cap B_{x_o}(\sigma + 2)$. Then we have

$$V_f(y, 1) \leq V_f(x_i, d(x_i, x_o) - \sigma) \leq C' V_f(x_i, 1) e^{\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_o(d(x_i, x_o) - \sigma)}.$$

On the other hand, the usual volume comparison theorem implies that

$$V_f(x_o, 1) \leq e^{\tilde{C}\sigma} V_f(y, 1).$$

Linking all the above inequalities, we have

$$V_f(x_o, 3R_i) \geq C e^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_o R_i}$$

for all $i \geq 0$, which contradicts the fact that the volume of M grows subexponentially with respect to one point. \square

Remark 7.6. Let g be a smooth function of M . If we regard g as a function on \tilde{M}_ε , then we have

$$\Delta_\varepsilon g = \Delta_f g.$$

Using this observation, we conclude that the L^p spectrum of Δ_ε on \tilde{M}_ε is $[0, \infty)$ whenever the L^p spectrum of Δ_f on M is $[0, \infty)$.

Remark 7.7. It is interesting to observe that we can obtain information about the L^2 essential spectrum of the Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold with assumptions only on the Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor. For example, in the shrinking Ricci soliton case, where Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor is propositional to the Riemannian metric, we are able to compute the spectrum of the Laplacian [4, 24]. Furthermore, we note that Silveiras has demonstrated in [30] that the L^2 essential spectrum of the drifting Laplacian is $[0, \infty)$ whenever the Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor, Ric_f , is nonnegative and the weight function f has sublinear growth.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bakry and M. Émery, *Diffusions hypercontractives*, Séminaire de probabilités, XIX, 1983/84, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1123, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 177–206, DOI 10.1007/BFb0075847, (to appear in print) (French). MR889476 (88j:60131)
- [2] D. Bakry and Z. Qian, *Volume comparison theorems without Jacobi fields*, Current trends in potential theory, Theta Ser. Adv. Math., vol. 4, Theta, Bucharest, 2005, pp. 115–122. MR2243959 (2007e:58048)
- [3] N. Charalambous, *On the L^p independence of the spectrum of the Hodge Laplacian on non-compact manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **224** (2005), no. 1, 22–48, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2004.11.003. MR2139103 (2006e:58044)
- [4] N. Charalambous and Z. Lu, *The essential spectrum of the Laplacian*. arXiv:1211.3225.
- [5] J. Cheeger and T. Colding, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, III*, J. Diff. Geom. **54** (2000), no. 1, 37–74. MR1815411 (2003a:53044)
- [6] E. B. Davies, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 92, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR1103113 (92a:35035)
- [7] ———, *The state of the art for heat kernel bounds on negatively curved manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **25** (1993), no. 3, 289–292, DOI 10.1112/blms/25.3.289. MR1209255 (94f:58121)
- [8] F. Dobarro and B. Ünal, *Curvature of multiply warped products*, J. Geom. Phys. **55** (2005), no. 1, 75–106, DOI 10.1016/j.geomphys.2004.12.001. MR2157416 (2006h:53033)
- [9] J. Dodziuk, *Maximum principle for parabolic inequalities and the heat flow on open manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **32** (1983), no. 5, 703–716, DOI 10.1512/iumj.1983.32.32046. MR711862 (85e:58140)
- [10] K. Fukaya, *Collapsing of Riemannian manifolds and eigenvalues of Laplace operator*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 3, 517–547, DOI 10.1007/BF01389241. MR874035 (88d:58125)

- [11] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition. MR1814364 (2001k:35004)
- [12] R. Hempel and J. Voigt, *On the L_p -spectrum of Schrödinger operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **121** (1987), no. 1, 138–159, DOI 10.1016/0022-247X(87)90244-7. MR869525 (88i:35114)
- [13] ———, *The spectrum of a Schrödinger operator in $L_p(\mathbf{R}^\nu)$ is p -independent*, Comm. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), no. 2, 243–250. MR836002 (87h:35247)
- [14] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966. MR0203473 (34 #3324)
- [15] P. Li, *Harmonic functions and applications to complete manifolds, Lecture notes.*, 2004. Author's website.
- [16] X.-D. Li, *Liouville theorems for symmetric diffusion operators on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **84** (2005), no. 10, 1295–1361, DOI 10.1016/j.matpur.2005.04.002 (English, with English and French summaries). MR2170766 (2006f:58046)
- [17] ———, *Perelman's entropy formula for the Witten Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds via Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature*, Math. Ann. **353** (2012), no. 2, 403–437, DOI 10.1007/s00208-011-0691-y. MR2915542
- [18] ———, *Hamilton's Harnack inequality and the W -entropy formula on complete Riemannian manifolds*. arXiv:1303.1242v2.
- [19] S. Li and X.-D. Li, *Perelman's entropy formula for the Witten Laplacian on manifolds with time dependent metrics and potentials*. arXiv:1303.6019.
- [20] P. Li and S.-T. Yau, *On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator*, Acta Math. **156** (1986), no. 3-4, 153–201, DOI 10.1007/BF02399203. MR834612 (87f:58156)
- [21] J. Lott, *Some geometric properties of the Bakry-Émery-Ricci tensor*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 4, 865–883.
- [22] Z. Lu and J. Rowlett, *Eigenvalues of collapsing domains and drift Laplacians*. arXiv:1003.0191v3.
- [23] O. Munteanu and J. Wang, *Smooth metric measure spaces with non-negative curvature*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **19** (2011), no. 3, 451–486. MR2843238
- [24] Z. Lu and D. Zhou, *On the essential spectrum of complete non-compact manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011), no. 11, 3283–3298, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2010.10.010. MR2776570 (2012e:58058)
- [25] O. Munteanu and J. Wang, *Analysis of weighted Laplacian and applications to Ricci solitons*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **20** (2012), no. 1, 55–94. MR2903101
- [26] Z. M. Qian, *Gradient estimates and heat kernel estimate*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **125** (1995), no. 5, 975–990, DOI 10.1017/S0308210500022599. MR1361628 (97c:58153)
- [27] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. II. Fourier analysis, self-adjointness*, Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1975. MR0493420 (58 #12429b)
- [28] L. Saloff-Coste, *Uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **36** (1992), no. 2, 417–450. MR1180389 (93m:58122)

- [29] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry*, Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, I, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994. Lecture notes prepared by Wei Yue Ding, Kung Ching Chang [Gong Qing Zhang], Jia Qing Zhong and Yi Chao Xu; Translated from the Chinese by Ding and S. Y. Cheng; Preface translated from the Chinese by Kaising Tso.
- [30] L. Silvarés, *On the essential spectrum of the Laplacian and the drifted Laplacian*, 2013. arXiv:1302.1834.
- [31] K.-T. Sturm, *On the L^p -spectrum of uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **118** (1993), no. 2, 442–453, DOI 10.1006/jfan.1993.1150. MR1250269 (94m:58227)
- [32] G. Wei and W. Wylie, *Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor*, J. Differential Geom. **83** (2009), no. 2, 377–405. MR2577473 (2011a:53064)
- [33] G. Xu, *The short time asymptotics of Nash entropy*. arXiv: 1209.6591v2.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS, NICOSIA, 1678, CYPRUS

E-mail address, Nelia Charalambous: `nelia@ucy.ac.cy`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, IRVINE, CA 92697, USA

E-mail address, Zhiqin Lu: `zlu@uci.edu`